

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,924	08/02/2006	Wolfgang Biesel	BIESEL ET AL-1 PCT	3640
25889 COLLARD & 1	7590 09/18/200 ROE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1077 NORTHE	ERN BOULEVARD		MOULTON, ELIZABETH ROSE	
ROSLYN, NY	113/6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/587,924	BIESEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ELIZABETH R. MOULTON	3767	

	ELIZABETH R. MOULTON	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a contine the same day as filing a Notice of a compliance al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a)	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	-	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropria	e extension fee ate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later to may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL		•	, , ,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•	ΓE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a control (Soc 37 CER 1.116 and 41.33(a))	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	— · · —	i be entered and an ex	tplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	_		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	∍d.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been conside because: Applicant argues features which are not found in the clair 			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I			<u> </u>
13. Other:			
/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/ELIZABETH R MOULT Examiner, Art Unit 3767	ON/	